

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,620	07/17/2003	Graham M. Clarke	57901US005	1875	
32692 7550 06/30/2008 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			WITCZAK, CATHERINE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3767		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/30/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/621.620 CLARKE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CATHERINE N. WITCZAK 3767 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

application from the International Bureau (P		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ne certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FT6/S5/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

Application/Control Number: 10/621,620 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 4/15/2008, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR

1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal

brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to

the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they

were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount

previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/LoAn H. Thanh/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3764.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that

the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The

specification as originally filed does not include support for a solid (not hollow) microneedle tip.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the solid (ie not hollow) tip must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(c) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language. Application/Control Number: 10/621,620 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

 Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sherman et al (US 2002/002/088).

Sherman et al disclose in Figure 11 a microneedle device comprising a first major surface (140), a

plurality of microneedles (182, 184) formed of polymers (paragraphs 0015 and 0016) comprising a base

that is tapered to a flat truncated tip distal the base. Sherman et al disclose in paragraph 0088 that the

microneedle can comprise a solid flat tip. Sherman et al further disclose in paragraphs 0075 and 0076 the

flat tip capable of comprising an area of between 20 and 100 square micrometers and the base are capable

of being 900 square micrometers or more, and the height base ratio being capable of being 3:1 or more.

Sherman et al also disclose in these paragraphs a microneedle device capable of having a cross-sectional

area of no more than 25% of the base on a plane located at a distance of $0.98 \mathrm{h}$ from the base.

Sherman et al disclose in paragraph 0009 the method of using the microneedle device comprising the step of contacting the skin and forcing the microneedle device against the skin.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/15/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Figure 11 of Sherman does not possess a solid flat tip, but rather a pointed surface at the tip of the needle. However, even if one were to assume that this is the case, it is still inherent that even a pointed must have some width to it, and thus inherently possesses a flat tip. As to the tip not being solid, Sherman does not disclose any discontinuities around the conical pointed surface and thus discloses a solid tip. As per the definition provided by Msn Encarta, solid is defined as "uninterrupted: continuing without breaks or opening," It is obvious that Sherman does in fact disclose such a solid tip.

Furthermore, this definition of 'solid' if the only definition of solid which would be enabled by the Applicant's drawings and specification and would not otherwise be considered new matter. If the Applicant is intending that solid means 'not hollow' Applicant is notified, as in the 112th rejection and drawing objection above, that there is no antecedent basis for this in the specification as originally filed, Application/Control Number: 10/621,620 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

and furthermore, it is not clear from the drawings whether or not the microneedles of the Applicant's

invention have a solid (ie uninterrupted) tip as in Sherman or if they have a solid (ie not hollow) tip as it

appears Applicant is currently arguing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to CATHERINE N. WITCZAK whose telephone number is (571)272-7179. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin

Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Catherine N Witczak/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/LoAn H. Thanh/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3764.